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Executive summary

This report presents the findings of an Evidence Check on capacity building and intellectual disability health services. The NSW Ministry of Health commissioned the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Faculty of Health’s Centre for Health Services Management to conduct a rapid review that sought to identify and analyse the available evidence on methods to improve the capacity of healthcare services and systems to respond to the needs of people with intellectual disabilities (PWID).

The Evidence Check was conducted in three phases. Phase 1 was essentially a proof of concept search to identify whether there was enough evidence available to proceed. Phase 2 was a systematic scoping review including a primary analysis of any existing reviews addressing the provision of healthcare to PWID. The third phase is a review and analysis of single studies not covered by the preceding reviews and of the grey literature from selected countries. This report provides an analysis of a meta review of 35 systematic or comprehensive literature reviews identified in the first phase of the project. The research team cross-checked the remaining studies with the reviews, identifying 35 studies that were included and an additional 69 that were not. These additional studies were reviewed separately for this report along with an overview of the grey literature.

There is abundant evidence of the higher health risks and lower quality of care provided to PWID. There is also universal acknowledgement of the limited amount of research available that address strategies for the improvement of healthcare for PWID. The evidence that is available, however, points to several potential areas of capacity building, including structural responses, role definitions and development, communicative strategies, clinical, ethical/research and environmental responses.

The provision of adequate care to PWID is complex because of both the complexity of many intellectual disability conditions and a longstanding failure to respond adequately to the needs and concerns of individuals that have them. As a result, any form of capacity building requires a holistic systems approach that acknowledges the shortfalls — and potential improvements — at every point of interaction with health systems and services.

There is continued tension between the development and provision of specialists and generalist services. This tension does not arise out of an either one-or-the-other paradigm, rather it emerges from an understanding that due to the current shortfall in service provision and the preferences of PWID, both will be needed. Areas of particular focus in the literature are: palliative care; sexual and reproductive health; preventative and cancer screening; and mental and forensic health services. There is a continued need for the evaluation and capacity building in children’s services, both generalist and specialist.

A clear starting point, therefore, is the mapping of exemplar journeys to identify individual, group and systems vulnerabilities both for PWID in general and for specific individuals with intellectual disabilities (ID), for example, those with dual diagnoses, pregnant women and older people. This mapping process also provides a way of understanding the current and changing roles of support staff (ie paid assistants) and carers for PWID, and their own interactions with healthcare systems.

System capacity building is necessarily based on organisational and individual capacity building. Structural responses, such as the creation of liaison officers for PWID were amongst the most commonly cited responses, although the implementation and efficacy of such roles is still open to debate. A consistent recommendation was for the development of specific assessment tools, guidelines and care plans for clinicians working with PWID, as well as appropriate information and communication tools for PWID, support staff, carers and family members. At the core of the use of such tools is improved understanding
and relationships between PWID and clinicians. This relationship building also requires a commitment to the engagement of PWID, and their carers and families in research, service planning and policy development.

The introduction of the National Insurance Disability Scheme (NDIS) will have impact on how PWID’s access and utilise healthcare services. The specific role, responsibilities and rights of support staff within the hospital context remains largely unexplored but will need to be considered in the near future.

Physical and organisational environments have a particular significance for PWID. The need for safe spaces cannot be underestimated as a way of both reducing fear of health interventions for PWID and managing potentially challenging behaviours.
Background

In June 2017, the NSW Ministry of Health (MoH) commissioned the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) Faculty of Health’s Centre for Health Services Management (CHSM), to complete an Evidence Check review that sought to identify and analyse the available evidence on methods to improve the capacity of healthcare services and systems to respond to the needs of people with intellectual disabilities (PWID).

Review questions

The review aimed to address the following questions:

**Question 1:** What strategies/approaches/interventions have been shown to be effective in building capacity in a health system for people with intellectual disability and special needs?

**Question 2:** What strategies/approaches/interventions have been shown to be effective in building capacity in health workforce for people with intellectual disability and special needs?

This Evidence Check report commences with a background section that contextualises health systems responses to PWID. It then summarises the search method, synthesises the key empirical and thematic findings, and highlights the policy-relevant implications in the discussion based on a meta review of 36 systematic or comprehensive literature reviews identified in the first phase of the project. The review team cross-checked the remaining studies with the reviews, identifying 35 studies that were included in the reviews, and an additional 69 that were not.

Context

This Evidence Check was and is not intended as a review of the healthcare needs of PWID per se. It is important, however, to briefly establish the context within which this review and the MoH’s goal of contributing to the improvement of state-wide healthcare service provision is to be understood. In this background section three issues are addressed: locating the study within the larger program of work undertaken by NSW MoH with PWID; a very brief overview of the health needs of and provision of services to PWID; and, the establishment of and impact from the National Insurance Disability Scheme (NDIS).

Definition

For the purposes of this review the definition of “carer” is in accordance with the Carer (Recognition) 2010 Act NSW (pg 3), which states:

“(1) ... a person is a carer if the person is an individual who provides ongoing personal care, support and assistance to any other individual who needs it because that other individual: (a) is in the target group (as referred to in section 5 (1) of the Disability Services Act 1993), or (b) has a medical condition (including a terminal or chronic illness), or (c) has a mental illness, or (d) is frail and aged.

(2) Despite subsection (1), a person is not a carer for the purposes of this Act in respect of care, support and assistance that the person provides: (a) under a contract of service or a contract for the provision of services, or (b) in the course of doing voluntary work for a charitable, welfare or community organisation, or (c) as part of the requirements of a course of education or training.

(3) To avoid doubt, a person is not a carer of another person for the purposes of this Act merely because the person: (a) is the spouse or de facto partner of the person, or (b) is the parent, guardian, child or other relative of the other person, or (c) lives with the other person.”
It should be noted, however, that the nomenclature is not consistent nor clear across either the Australian or international literature. A variety of terms are utilised to denote the fiduciary relationship, for example paid versus unpaid carer (which is in contradiction to the definition provided by the NSW Act).

The terms become more confusing when legal rights and responsibilities are considered within a healthcare setting. For example, clearly not all (unpaid) carers are family members, and not all family members (or carers) have legal guardianship of the individuals for whom they are caring, whereas some court appointed non-family members may.

Throughout the review the Carer (Recognition) 2010 Act NSW definition of carer was used wherever possible and appropriate. In a small number of instances, however, it was more accurate to retain the specific term used in an article (such as paid carer), even though this is not the agreed usage in NSW.

**NSW strategies to improve access to health services for people with intellectual disabilities**

Over the last six years MoH has implemented a number of strategies intended to improve people with intellectual disabilities’ access to health services. As well as the development of the Intellectual Disability Network (IDN), auspiced by the Agency for Clinical Innovation (ACI), the MoH established three specialist Intellectual Disability Health Team pilots. The pilots are being conducted at St George Hospital in South Eastern Sydney Local Health District (LHD) and the Disability Services Unit at Cremorne in Northern Sydney LHD, and in a new unit at Fairfield. The MoH describes the aims of the pilots as:

- Building the capacity of health services to provide specialist care for these patients
- Improving the integration of specialist services for patients with an intellectual disability with mainstream services
- Testing and enhancing the capacity of public health services to provide a coordinated, multidisciplinary approach to providing health services to patients with intellectual disability
- Building the overall capacity of the NSW public health system to provide appropriate and quality care to patients with intellectual disability.

**Key issues in the provision of healthcare for people with intellectual disabilities**

A recent paper by Weise et al.² on the provision of primary health care to PWID found that in line with previous research, “People with an intellectual disability (ID) have more complex and different patterns of health care needs than the general population. They experience a greater burden of multi-morbidity, high levels of undetected and unmanaged health issues, and premature mortality than the general population.”

The risk of premature death for PWID increases with the severity of the intellectual disability¹, and differs according to age and gender¹. Common causes of death for PWID include, a lack of control of cardiovascular risk factors, epilepsy and dysphagia, lack of screening and/or management of thrombotic and colorectal risks⁵, respiratory and nervous system illnesses⁶, among numerous other preventable or ameliorable conditions.⁷ As Trollor et al.⁶ found, PWID have “… much higher proportions of potentially avoidable deaths than people without ID” with “[p]otentially avoidable deaths in people with ID … dominated by deaths due to infections, diseases of the circulatory system, cancer and other external causes.”

Significant differences have been found between the types, lengths and problems managed, medications and treatments provided, and referrals for PWID and people without them. While (primary care) consultations were found to be longer and with more problems managed in the Weise et al.² study, consultations were more likely to be addressing administrative issues rather than clinical treatments. PWID typically experience under-management of common health conditions, fewer procedural treatments, medications and “delays, difficulties or differences”⁶ in referrals and access to specialist services or specific interventions.²,⁶ Additional contributing factors to higher mortality in PWID are a lack of adjustment to care as individuals’ needs changed and providers not listening to their family.⁸ People with mild, non-syndromic ID are at particular risk of lower levels of care.⁵
Similar issues have been identified in tertiary care. Tuffrey-Wijne et al. found that along with a lack of involvement of family members, safety risks for PWID in hospitals most often related to errors involving omission of care and treatment compounded by both a lack of hospitals’ recognition of the needs of PWID and shared and appropriate care protocols, and an inability or unwillingness to make reasonable adjustments to interventions.

While PWID continue to have a higher premature mortality rate than the general population, their average life expectancy is rising. As a result, there is an increasing number of carers (largely family members) who are themselves ageing with complex health issues.

**National Disability Insurance Scheme**

It is impossible to understand the delivery of any type of service to people with disabilities (PWD) in Australia without acknowledging the current and potential impact of the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS). The NDIS was established with the specific purpose of changing the delivery of specialist disability care and support to people with profound or severe permanent disabilities in Australia. At the core of the scheme is the belief that individuals should have choice and control over the support and services they receive. The scheme applies insurance principles to the cost of services and funding is said to be determined by individual needs (rather than through a fixed budget).

As a result of this personalised (or individualised) approach, the NDIS may have an impact on when, which, and how services are provided. As noted by the Productivity Commission’s latest report “The National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) is a complex and highly valued national reform. The scale, pace and nature of the changes it is driving are unprecedented in Australia. If implemented well, it will substantially improve the wellbeing of people with disability and Australians more generally.”

As of March 2017, close to 100,000 people were enrolled in the NDIS. People with autism (28%) and intellectual disability (37%) are the largest disability groups and comprise almost two thirds of current scheme participants. Approximately 44% of all participants are under 14 years old (of these, 45% are on the autism spectrum and 34% have an intellectual disability, including developmental delay). The Productivity Commission noted that there was a higher prevalence of autism than had been expected across all NDIS trial sites but that intellectual disability was much higher in some sites than others. Although no single reason for this discrepancy has been identified, the Commission suggests that the rates may in part be due to the non-representative populations within the trial sites.

As Trollor et al. note, the roll-out of the NDIS will bring with it both “increased choice and control for people with ID and their families” and the need for an increased level of awareness and attentiveness from health providers and support staff. Given the higher levels of morbidity and mortality of PWID, and the greater risks of inadequate, inappropriate or unsafe care, they argue that it is “critical that the need for feeding and swallowing assessments, and awareness of vulnerability to infections and respiratory conditions [as well as all other higher risk conditions and procedures] are recognised, and that services are readily accessed and appropriately prioritised by people with ID and their carers under the NDIS.” As a result, there needs to be strong focus on integrated service delivery, and cross sector collaboration and coordination, to ensure that PWID have access to and are able to use safe, high quality services.
Methods

This Evidence Check proceeded through three phases. Phase 1 was essentially a ‘proof of concept’ search to identify whether there was enough evidence available to proceed. Phase 2 was a systematic scoping review including a primary analysis of any existing reviews addressing the provision of healthcare to PWID. The third phase was a review and analysis of single studies not covered by the preceding reviews and of the grey literature from selected countries.

Phase 1: proof of concept

The original search terms provided by the Sax Institute and used to scope for relevant papers are listed in Table 1, below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Scoping review search terms provided by Sax Institute</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scoping review search terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive impairment disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex communication needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual handicap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning difficulty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental handicap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental retardation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A scan of outputs indicated that a number of these terms produced a reasonable number of appropriate studies and a large number of extraneous studies. For example, ‘communication disability’ was predominantly focused on children with speech and or language deficiencies, while ‘cognitive impairment’ held a focus on dementia, stroke and Alzheimer’s disease, and ‘mental retardation’ primarily produced papers written before 2006 (as ‘disability’ has replaced ‘retardation’ as preferred language).

Phase 2: systematic scoping review

After discussion and agreement between the researchers, the Sax Institute and the MoH, a broad initial search was performed using Cochrane, Medline, PsycINFO and CINAHL, combining ‘intellectual disability’ with ‘2006-2017’ and ‘English language’ delimiters. The search was designed to identify any systematic reviews relating to PWID, which could then be further reviewed for elements that addressed capacity
building in health. There was no need to cross-check for ‘health’ or ‘healthcare’ because of the scope of the databases searched. The search rationale was as follows:

- ‘Intellectual disability’ produced the most relevant results and covered the widest range of appropriate papers so, for phase one, the focus was shifted to identifying all relevant papers associated with this term with the objective of reviewing them for any references to service delivery or improvement.
- By downloading all the references associated with ‘intellectual disability’ the researchers could scan each record for both direct and indirect references for capacity building in healthcare services, without needing prior identification of the most relevant cross-referenced terms for ‘capacity building’ (e.g. ‘organisational development’, ‘policy’, ‘quality improvement’ etc.).

On 17 June 2017, the review team conducted a search of the MEDLINE, Cochrane, CINAHL and PsycINFO databases restricted to English language papers published between 2006 and 2017. The team downloaded references into an EndNote library. Once duplicates were removed (3,552) 23,980 articles remained.

The team downloaded these references into the EndNote library and independently examined the results. Owing to the large number of papers identified, papers were restricted to studies conducted in, papers written in, or reviews involving evidence from Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the UK. This allowed for comparison between healthcare systems as they are largely similar in these countries. This restriction produced 7,253 papers, including papers published in Australia and New Zealand (1,162), Canada (525) and the UK (5,436). Of the references identified as published in the UK, 3,844 focused on countries outside the UK; namely Taiwan, South Africa, the United States and China. The final review list included 3,410 results.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

The review team developed inclusion criteria, restricting papers to those focused on capacity-building and health service delivery. The majority of the papers the review team collected focused on diagnosis, treatment and prevalence. These were excluded as they provide little insight into capacity-building strategies and, therefore, do not meet the inclusion criteria. As the focus of the review is to identify research on interventions for capacity building, book chapters, book reviews, commentaries, pictorials and opinion pieces were also excluded. The remaining papers included reviews, studies and research papers.

Papers with no author or those that were irrelevant to the review (e.g. friendship studies, research on employment opportunities, criminal justice system articles and results of exercise programs) were excluded. The three reviewers discussed any uncertainty regarding inclusion or exclusion of articles until consensus was reached.

This resulted in the exclusion of 2,933 references, screened according to title and abstract. After reviewing titles and abstracts against the exclusion criteria once again, 161 papers remained. These were read in full to determine their eligibility, and categorised into ‘systematic reviews’, ‘empirical studies’ and ‘other’ (i.e. book reviews, opinion pieces and commentaries). A manual secondary search of the references of the reviews produced nine additional papers that were not identified in the initial search.

A total of 170 relevant references remained. Once the ‘other’ category and references that were not directly relevant to the primary review question (i.e. studies that addressed only training or the health status of PWID) were excluded, a total of 140 remained. Most of these could be sub-categorised into four types: attitudes of health services staff to people with intellectual disabilities; delivery of health services by service type (i.e. primary or tertiary care, with the bulk relating to mental health services); studies of client, carer or family preferences or expectations of services, which may provide insights into healthcare delivery; and, studies of sub populations (e.g. people with ID who are young, elderly, homeless).

A total of 36 reviews were included in this report. The remaining studies (n=104) were cross-checked with the reviews, identifying 35 studies that were included in the reviews, and an additional 69 that were not.
The search also produced many papers that, though not integral to the final review, provided insight into the unmet needs, health status and experiences of people with intellectual disabilities accessing and utilising the hospital system. This gave an excellent background to the topic and elevated understanding of the nuances of the area, with the most relevant included in the background section of this report. A flow diagram of the search process, criteria and outcomes is provided in Figure 1 on the next page.

**Data extraction and analysis**

The systematic reviews underwent data analysis. Once the systematic review articles met the inclusion and exclusion criteria, data were extracted and entered into an Excel spreadsheet under the following columns: author(s) and year of publication; topic and scope (aim); date range included in searches; language limits for searches; location; databases and or other sources of data; number of studies; finding; conclusion and recommendations (if any); and, type of review (i.e. narrative, systematic, scoping or Cochrane).

The authors of a majority of the review articles described them as ‘systematic reviews’ (n=22). However, in some cases they did not report certain elements of the search strategy, such as range of years reviewed or the total number of studies identified within scope, as indicated in Table 1. Several studies did not specify whether they limited the search to studies in certain countries, as they presumably searched the international literature.

The 69 additional studies were reviewed separately, comparing categories and themes identified in the systematic reviews and those identified in the grey literature. Additional themes were extracted and, after discussion, added to the review if the findings added to the depth and the breadth of those identified in the systematic review.
Figure 1: Results of Evidence Check
Results

Overview of systematic reviews

Table 2 summarises the components of each of the systematic reviews identified in this report. Text is italicised when quoted directly from the original source. Where authors have not specified the timeframe for the search, we have indicated the earliest and latest years of included studies in square brackets. Of the 35 systematic review papers, only one was a Cochrane Review. As that review noted, “... there are currently no well-designed studies focusing on organising the health services of persons with an intellectual disability and concurrent physical problems. There is an urgent need for high-quality health services research to identify optimal health services for persons with an intellectual disability and concurrent physical problem.” There are, however, indications in existing reviews of potential areas for capacity building supported by very recent individual studies that provide insight both into the barriers and potential solutions in this field (e.g. Trollor et al.)
Table 2: Literature reviews (n=35 phase one search results)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations/Conclusions</th>
<th>Type of Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adshead, Collier and Kennedy</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>To explore whether mental health nurses are being appropriately prepared to care for learning disabled patients who also suffer from mental ill health</td>
<td>2001 – 2013</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>CINAHL, Medline, ERIC, PubMed, Scopus</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>Staff attitudes affect quality of care delivered, and positive attitudes can be cultivated through increasing student contact with PWID. There is mixed evidence as to the favourability of generic or specialist services. While patients accessing specialist care often stay longer in hospital, their care is more comprehensive. There is a need for education for pre-registration nursing students, about mental health and other learning disability fields</td>
<td>Further research is required on education needs at pre-registration and post-qualifying levels. This could improve the delivery of mental health services to this group</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backer, Chapman and Mitchel</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>To identify studies on experiences of secondary healthcare for PWID</td>
<td>Jan 1990 – Mar 2008</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>CINAHL, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, AMED, BNI, EMBASE, HMIC</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>Experience of care was affected by individual factors including the carer’s role; the attitudes, knowledge and communicative style of health staff; and the physical environment. More research is needed, given that so few studies are published in this area.</td>
<td>Creation of specific teams that aim to improve access to secondary healthcare for PWID ID liaison nurses in general hospitals to provide direct care, support to carers and education for other providers, and also to extend roles Improved communication – ‘positive discrimination’ – e.g. special GP referral forms, accessible info to prepare PWID for hospital admission</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bakken and Sageng. 2016&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>To summarize empirical mental health nursing studies including adults with intellectual disabilities and additional mental illness</td>
<td>1970 – 2013</td>
<td>Europe. US, Australia, New Zealand</td>
<td>Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Medline, PsycINFO, CINAHL</td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>Although there are different needs for each group, the function of nursing for PWID and mental health issues are the same as for the general population with mental health issues</td>
<td>Interventions should target symptoms, not just behaviour. It is important to examine the impacts of the cognitive level on symptoms. More knowledge about effective nursing interventions is imperative to develop strategies for better mental health nursing for PWID. Nurse-patient communication research is vital</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balogh, McMorris, Lunsky, Ouellette-Kuntz, Bourne, Colantonio and Gonçalves-Bradley. 2016</td>
<td>To assess the effects of organisational interventions of healthcare services for the mental and physical health problems of PWID. This paper is an updated version of a 2008 review by the same authors</td>
<td>April 2006 – Sept 2015</td>
<td>UK/US</td>
<td>MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, Cochrane Library (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, Central Register of</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>There is uncertainty as to whether increasing intensity and frequency of service delivery decreases behavioural problems and there is little or no influence on carer burden. Community-based behavioural therapy may decrease behavioural problems</td>
<td>There is very limited evidence on the organisation of healthcare services for PWID. There are currently no well-designed studies focusing on organising the health services of PWID with concurrent physical problems. There are very few studies of organisational interventions targeting mental health needs; existing results need corroboration. There is an urgent need for high-quality health services research to identify optimal health services for PWID with concurrent physical problems.</td>
<td>Cochrane review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradbury-Jones, Rattray, Jones and MacGillvray</td>
<td>To identify the influences on the health, safety and welfare of adults with learning disabilities in acute hospitals</td>
<td>2000 – 2011</td>
<td>UK and Australia</td>
<td>Medline, PsycINFO, BNI, CINAHL</td>
<td>N=8</td>
<td>6 areas for improvement identified: care provision, communication, staff attitudes, staff knowledge, supporters and carers, and physical environment. Implications for research, policy and practice in terms of fiscal investment, and education and training</td>
<td>Organisational interventions may improve health outcomes of persons with a dual diagnosis; however, this review found insufficient evidence to guide policy decisions to optimise services in different jurisdictions</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byrne, Lennox and Ware.</td>
<td>To systematically review the effectiveness of primary healthcare interventions intended to increase health actions for PWID</td>
<td>1997 – 2010</td>
<td>Australia, England and Wales</td>
<td>PubMed, CINAHL, Web of Science, The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews Library, The Cochrane Central Register</td>
<td>N=5</td>
<td>Interventions reviewed: • Health Checks: which prompted significant increases in health actions • Health Diaries (held by PWID): alone did not significantly increase in health actions</td>
<td>There is little information on how health outcomes can be improved in this population group, although health checks can improve outcomes. Recommends further research into long-term health outcomes as a result of these health checks, as well as strategies for their effective implementation</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaplin. 2011</td>
<td>To summarize recent research into psychiatric services for adults with intellectual disabilities, with a particular focus on inpatient care</td>
<td>2004 – 2008</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>MEDLINE, PsycINFO, EMBASE Psychiatry, CINAHL</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Although they were effective when used in combination with health checks, Health Prompts showed no significant differences.</td>
<td>Often PWID have negative experiences when accessing healthcare environments, so delivery of services needs to be adjusted to address this. The studies conducted offer a limited scope of results, and a more widespread base would more accurately demonstrate whether the results can be generalised.</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox, Dube and Temple. 2015</td>
<td>To review studies evaluating whether staff training influences client challenging behaviour, to identify emerging patterns, knowledge gaps and make recommendations for future research on this topic</td>
<td>Jan 1997 – July 2013</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Academic Search Complete, CINAHL, Child Development and Adolescent Studies, PsycINFO, Family and Society Studies, Worldwide, Master FILE Premier, Mental Measurements Yearbook, PubMed, Social Work Abstracts, Teacher Ref. Centre</td>
<td>N=19</td>
<td>This study examined training interventions to address challenging behaviour. Positive behaviour support interventions resulted in decreased challenging behaviour. Active support appeared to achieve its purpose of ‘increasing engagement in meaningful activity’. However, it also somewhat increased challenging behaviour post-training. Crisis-prevention and response training did not measurably increase staff confidence or challenging client behaviour. Communication program training, though initially decreasing challenging client behaviour, did not maintain this result longer term.</td>
<td>Existing research should be extended to further develop these packages and maximise their utility value. Further research into effective staff training packages should also be conducted</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson and</td>
<td>To summarise the barriers to healthcare</td>
<td>Not UK</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not</td>
<td>Barriers to healthcare</td>
<td>The health inequalities faced by PWID are</td>
<td>Systematic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baines.</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>most recent evidence from the UK on the health status of people with learning disabilities and the determinants of the health inequalities they face. Update of search originally undertaken in 2002</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td>services access include: scarcity of services, physical and environmental barriers, eligibility criteria, lack of reasonable adjustments to services, communication difficulties, lack of availability of interpreters for PWID from ethnic communities, lack of expertise/education for staff, 'diagnostic overshadowing' and mistaken attribution of other symptoms (ie. mental health) to ID</td>
<td>not only clear and measurable, but avoidable. By examining the determinants of these inequalities, solutions and strategies can be formed to address them</td>
<td>Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goddard, Davidson, Daly and Mackey.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>To document the needs of this vulnerable population, and to consult with mothers of children with disabilities and with professionals working within disability services for people with an intellectual disability and their families.</td>
<td>1985 – 2006</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Medline, CINAHL, PsychLit</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>There has been a positive shift in health service delivery, particularly with the departure from institutionalisation. PWID are more likely to have more complex health issues and mental health issues. Healthcare for PWID is one of the most neglected areas, and PWID remain vulnerable in the hospital</td>
<td>Strategies to improve services include: a positive policy platform; improving the knowledge of health care workers including nurses (which will, in turn, improve outcomes); governance and monitoring systems to ensure the wellbeing of PWID; additional support to carers of children with ID and a greater focus on ID issues in the research arena. Investing in further research will serve to alleviate personal, carer and societal burden in this area</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffiths, Bennett and Smith. 2009</td>
<td>To provide an up-to-date empirical assessment that confirms that the evidence base for learning disability nursing practice remains limited in quality, extent and quantity</td>
<td>1996 – 2006</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Cochrane, Medline, PsycINFO, EMBASE, CINAHL, BNI</td>
<td>N=180</td>
<td>There is strong research to suggest that learning disability nurses are essential in mediating between PWID and general health care services. There is a paucity of studies specifically on interventions delivered by these nurses themselves</td>
<td>Predominantly, studies in this area have been conducted as to the health status of PWID. Studies on relatives and centred on the experience of these groups, particularly parenting experiences and the interaction between care service responses and family member experiences. Research focused on nurses centred on education, knowledge and nursing experiences. There is insufficient research into the area of specific disability nurse practice to appropriately evaluate interventions for and in practice</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemm. 2006</td>
<td>To locate, extract</td>
<td>1996 – UK and MEDLINE</td>
<td>N=13</td>
<td>Major themes:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Despite increased focus on improving</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dagnan and Meyer. 2015</td>
<td>Themes from and evaluate the current literature that had assessed the training needs of mainstream health professionals within this area</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>PsycINFO, CAB Abstracts, Scopus, Web of Knowledge</td>
<td>Prioritising communication; Training, to improve knowledge about the specific needs of PWID in the healthcare system, including profession-specific e.g. training for GPs in diagnosis, or psychiatrists in mental health service delivery; Increasing knowledge to equip staff to support clients by improving understanding of client consent; Adapting management strategies to cater to the needs of PWID</td>
<td>Health service delivery for PWID in recent decades, they still face barriers to adequately accessing and utilising the healthcare system. These barriers can be addressed by providing appropriate, needs-based training to health care providers</td>
<td>Review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hithersay, Strydom, Moulster and Buszewicz. 2014</td>
<td>To review carer-led health interventions in various populations and healthcare settings, to investigate potential roles for carers in ID health care</td>
<td>[1996 – 2013] US, UK, Australia, Belgium, Netherlands, Italy, Iran</td>
<td>Scopus * citation-tracking</td>
<td>N=24</td>
<td>Interventions were often poorly adhered to. Use of a health diary or profile (completed by carers) were well-received by PWID, carers and healthcare professionals. However, they were not used by a significant number of the people they were designed for. Developing parenting and screening, monitoring and health promotion were implemented in a range of healthcare settings. Including PWID and carers in further research may better inform strategies for improving healthcare services through a stronger adherence to carer-led</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeyard, Montgomery, Chinn and Patelarou. 2016</td>
<td>To identify and review the existing evidence on the provision of antenatal care among women with intellectual disabilities</td>
<td>1980 – May 2014</td>
<td>UK, Australia, Ireland, Sweden</td>
<td>EMBASE, Medline</td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>Women with intellectual disabilities were viewed as ‘unsuitable mothers’. Many experienced negative responses to their pregnancy and professional advice</td>
<td>There are gaps in the evidence relating to the experiences of pregnancy and antenatal health service provision by women with ID, as well as nurse and midwife perspectives. Information should be more accessible. Although most research focuses on parenting or</td>
<td>Systematic review, narrative synthesis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Life skills improved nutritional health for PWID, though there was no significant increase in parent knowledge after the intervention. Some studies indicated that improving parent knowledge has no effect on behavioural outcomes. Carer-led interventions were no more helpful than the current interventions for symptom management. Screening proved a ‘promising example’ with carer input reducing clinician time without impacting on reliability. Carer interventions for people with dementia were beneficial to the client while not increasing anxiety or burden for the carer.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author Year</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations/Conclusions</th>
<th>Type of Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>disabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>included suggestions of termination. There were limited advocacy and ineffective or inadequate communication of helpful resources. Negative encounters indicate a lack of understanding about the nuances of ID. Care and communication was often ‘about them, without them’. Women with ID experienced ‘usual’ emotions when pregnant, mainly excitement and happiness. Midwives have a responsibility to provide antenatal care for women with ID, but there is mixed evidence of midwife attitudes. Midwives struggle delivering information ‘at the right level’ and may need additional specialist education/resources to enhance knowledge about mothering for people with ID, this review presents important information about experiences before birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iacono, Bigby, Unsworth, Douglas and Fitzpatrick. 2014</td>
<td>To conduct a content and quality review of research into the acute hospital experiences of both people with intellectual disabilities and their carers, and to identify research gaps</td>
<td>1990 – 2013</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, Sociological Abstracts, PsycINFO</td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>Themes identified: Negative attitudes from hospital staff; Limited knowledge and education about caring for PWID despite continued recommendations for training; Fear when entering hospital settings; Continued reliance on parents/family/carers; People from culturally diverse backgrounds are at risk of additional discrimination</td>
<td>PWID continue to face barriers and inequalities in the healthcare system. The recommendations made by Mencap (ie. learning disability liaison nurses) have not been implemented in hospitals, indicating limited capacity to provide these services accounted for in policy. Further research is needed to identify and address gaps in health service provision, as well as the barriers to the implementation of the recommendations made in multiple studies</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innes, McCabe and Watchman. 2012</td>
<td>To critically evaluate the available research literature on aging among people with an intellectual disability</td>
<td>1990 – 2011</td>
<td>UK, Australia, US, Ireland, Israel, Canada</td>
<td>PsycINFO, Web of Knowledge, CINAHL, Medline, EMBASE</td>
<td>N=42</td>
<td>Accommodation issues: concerns about current/future accommodation for both PWID and their carers; issues with transitioning</td>
<td>The needs of older PWID are not being met by the current system for several reasons: the lack of accommodation, limited specialist knowledge amongst staff, and the view of retirement that is not conducive with the models of care –</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacobs, Downie, Kidd, Fitzsimmons Gibbs and Melville. 2016</td>
<td>To review the literature on mental health services for children with learning disabilities, to identify known models of service provision and what has been experienced as effective or challenging in providing good services</td>
<td>UK, US, Australia, Canada, South Africa, Greece, the Netherlands, Serbia, Bulgaria, Mexico, Italy and Germany</td>
<td>PsychINFO, Medline, EMBASE, Social Care Online</td>
<td>N=34</td>
<td>Children with ID often face barriers to accessing mental health services; there is some evidence that this is also related to ethnicity. Different models of care were evaluated. However, there is little to no evidence on the best and most appropriate model. Some parents feel that services provided to their children are inadequate. However, parents do report positive experiences</td>
<td>Services need to be developed to address the high rate of mental health issues among children with ID. The current services are inadequate, and cannot appropriately meet the needs of this population group</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenkins</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>To review the research that investigates the role of nurses in meeting the needs of older PWID</td>
<td>1990 – 2011</td>
<td>UK and Ireland</td>
<td>CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, ASSIA, MEDLINE</td>
<td>N=11</td>
<td>It is unclear what role nurses will be adopting in response to the aging of the population of PWID and their changing needs. Nurses working in this area require extended skills and knowledge, in order to adapt to these changing needs. The population of PWID is ageing, and they are therefore likely to increasingly require nurse services. The limited research into the role of nurses in this area is problematic as evidence is essential to inform appropriate interventions. More research needs to be conducted, as much of the literature in this area is based on opinion, rather than research.</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Gaffney and Wilson</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>To describe how nurses experience caring for people with intellectual disability in an acute care setting</td>
<td>2006 – 2015</td>
<td>UK, Australia, Canada, the Netherlands</td>
<td>Medline, CINAHL, Web of Science, PsycINFO, Cochrane</td>
<td>N=14</td>
<td>Nurses feel unprepared when caring for PWID. A lack of training contributes to negative attitudes and interactions with PWID. Disability Awareness Training seems to improve care provision. Pre-registration training</td>
<td>It is evident that nurses need better education, training and resources when delivering services to people with intellectual disabilities. The enablers of and barriers to the delivery of nursing care in acute care settings need to be made explicit and researchers and nurses need to collaborate in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies N=7</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKenzie. 2011</td>
<td>To address the question: what do evaluations of models of service provision for individuals with an</td>
<td>1990 – 2010</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Ovid, PsycINFO, EMBASE, AMED, Medline, Global Health, ISI</td>
<td>In-patient units can form a beneficial solution to community placement breakdown by providing acute support for people with specific healthcare</td>
<td>Services must be improved to better accommodate challenging behaviour. However, there is not one clear model for best care. Specialist care appears to use a more restrictive approach, with limited impact on reducing challenging behaviour.</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intellectual disability who display challenging behaviour indicate about best practice for this client group?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Web of Knowledge, Web of Science, CINAHL, Social Policy and Practice</td>
<td></td>
<td>needs. However, the multitude of mixed needs in these units can aggravate challenging behaviour. One study found limited evidence of benefits. Peripatetic support levels take a behavioural approach to addressing challenging behaviour, with strategies e.g. on-site staff training and evidence-based practice. The limited evidence suggests this approach is effective, as perceived by both staff feedback and tangible outcomes. Community and volunteer partnerships proved effective at promoting staff and care-giver skills, although there were barriers to their efficacy. Despite a focus on training, staff felt unprepared when working with PWID and challenging behaviour. Guidance was provided, but rarely employed practically</td>
<td>To address the gap in literature, further research into the impacts of different care models on behaviour should be conducted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen, Lennox</td>
<td>To summarise evidence concerning the use of HHHRs (Hand-Held Health</td>
<td>1980 – August</td>
<td>UK,</td>
<td>Medline, CINAHL, Scopus,</td>
<td>N=7</td>
<td>HHHRs promoted more discussion about health needs between PWID and those involved in caring for them.</td>
<td>The development of HHHRs may alleviate some of these barriers in accessing health care, such as recall, comprehension and consent difficulties</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Ware. 2014</td>
<td>Records) with individuals with ID</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osugo and</td>
<td>To synthesise the evidence on psychological, pharmacological and</td>
<td>1945 –&gt; Not</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Medline, Embase, PsycINFO, CINAHL</td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>There is a paucity of evidence in this area. Many conditions that need pharmacological interventions have few or no studies involving PWID requiring evidence from RCTs and follow-up to assess long-term efficacy. Although there is stronger evidence for the effectiveness of group cognitive-behavioural therapies on symptoms of depression, evidence is limited and needs follow-up. This also applies to exercise and behavioural interventions. This raises an ethical</td>
<td>RCTs of mental health management interventions for PWID should be a priority. The studies that indicated positive outcomes should be followed up</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper. 2016</td>
<td>electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) interventions for adults with mild</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td>stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intellectual disabilities and mental ill health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelleboer-Gunnink, Van Oorsouw, Van Weeghel and Embregts. 2017</td>
<td>To stimulate inclusion in mainstream healthcare services, this systematic review focussed on barriers in attitudes of mainstream health professionals towards people with ID</td>
<td>1994 – 2016</td>
<td>UK, US, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, Sweden, India, Netherlands, Italy</td>
<td>PubMed, PsycINFO, CINAHL, ProQuest</td>
<td>N=30</td>
<td>Limited knowledge by healthcare service providers leads to fear, anxiety and a lack of confidence in treating PWID. In addition to health knowledge they lack general unfamiliarity about PWID – what they do, what they like, how they live. There are conflicting attitudes in the healthcare system towards PWID and different expectations of their capabilities. Stigma surrounding PWID and their capabilities creates stereotypes which poses barriers to adequate treatment</td>
<td>Stigmatising attitudes towards PWID appeared to be present among mainstream health professionals, causing challenges to inclusion in mainstream healthcare services. Recommendations include contact and collaboration with experts- by-experience in education programs of health professionals. Future research should progress beyond descriptive accounts of stigma towards exploring relationships between cognitive, affective and behavioural dimensions as pointers for intervention. Finally, inclusion would benefit from an understanding of ‘equal’ treatment that means reasonable adjustments instead of undifferentiated treatment</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips. 2012</td>
<td>To explore the unmet needs of people with learning disabilities in hospitals and to identify best practice</td>
<td>1990 – 2010</td>
<td>UK, US, Australia</td>
<td>Cochrane, PsycINFO, BNI, CINAHL, Medline, TRIP+</td>
<td>N=14</td>
<td>Being in hospital often creates anxiety in PWID. Staff have negative attitudes toward PWID, and feel less confident about treating them. There is a lack of adequate resources and adaptations in the physical hospital environment. Carers play an immensely positive role in alleviating stress for PWID in hospitals and ensuring that they receive the best care</td>
<td>Pre-admission visits to hospital to familiarise the person with the setting and alleviate anxiety. Education and training for hospital staff. Acknowledgement of the importance of carers. Adjustment of the physical environment of the hospital to better cater for PWID. Learning Disability Liaison Nurses</td>
<td>Literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratti, Hassiotis, Crabtree, Deb, Gallagher and Unwin.</td>
<td>To evaluate the effectiveness of Person-Centred Planning (PCP) on outcomes for individuals with intellectual disabilities across the age range</td>
<td>1990 – 2014</td>
<td>US, UK, Canada, New Zealand</td>
<td>PsycINFO, Embase, CINAHL, PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Medline</td>
<td>N=16</td>
<td>PCP resulted in:</td>
<td>There is some evidence as to the positive effect of PCP on outcomes, and it can be shown to have an ‘enriching’ impact on the quality of life of PWID. However, there is also evidence to the contrary, though the reasons for this have been much discussed. More research into this area should be conducted</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

possible. However, carers often feel that they are placing a burden on staff if they leave the hospital. The relationships between carers and hospital staff need to be strengthened. 

urgent addressed
Robertson, Baines, Emerson and Hatton. 2015

To summarise research on service responses to people with intellectual disabilities and epilepsy

1990 –>

UK, US, Australia, Canada, Ireland

Medline, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Web of Science

N=35

There were no large-scale studies or randomised control trials, with most research focused on small, qualitative papers. There is a lack of access to specialist services and specialist nurses despite this being the predominant recommendation of many papers. Overall, there is little evidence of the efficacy of any approaches, although many have been proposed, their impact is yet to be evaluated.

The current studies focus on expanding the research base for these issues. This area is under-researched, and must be addressed to provide effective improvement strategies through evidence-based implementations.

Further research into this area will equip services with the necessary skills in delivering adequate care to people with ID and epilepsy

Systematic review
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations/ Conclusions</th>
<th>Type of Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robertson,</td>
<td>To update a previously published review summarising evidence on the</td>
<td>1989 –</td>
<td>UK, US, New Zealand, Australia</td>
<td>Medline, CINAHL, Web of</td>
<td>N=48</td>
<td>Health checks were beneficial in identifying previously undiagnosed health needs. Health checks led to specialist referrals, increased screening and further tests. Health checks are generally acceptable to PWID. There are also positive impacts for support staff, e.g. reported increases in knowledge, confidence and experience. Health checks provide GPs with an increased awareness of health needs for PWID, as the studies show that often GPs have a warped idea of the health disparities between people with and without ID. The long-term benefits of health checks are unclear.</td>
<td>New studies support the initial finding that health checks are received well by PWID and their carers. Communication with PWID should be improved to create positive associations with hospitals, instead of anxiety-inducing ones.</td>
<td>Updated systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatton, Emerson and Baines</td>
<td>previously published review summarising evidence on the efficacy and impact of health checks on the health and well-being of people with intellectual disabilities</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>Web of Science, PsycINFO</td>
<td>8 articles + 2 reports added to previous review (2010)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson, Hatton, Baines and Emerson. 2015</td>
<td>To provide an overview of recent systematic reviews on the health or health care of people with intellectual disabilities and to identify gaps and strengths in the coverage of these reviews</td>
<td>2008 – 2013</td>
<td>UK, Europe, North America, Australia</td>
<td>Cochrane Library, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, Medline, CINAHL, EMBASE, PsycINFO, Web of Science</td>
<td>N=94</td>
<td>The updated review identifies previously undetected barriers, e.g. communication, staff levels and skills, access to equipment and nursing management. The updated review also highlighted the implications that health checks have on the wider audience, more than on an individual level, in identifying gaps in experience/knowledge. PWID are largely excluded from research conducted on mainstream/generalised health services. There is no tangible reason for this exclusion, as most studies would easily accommodate with some adaptations. There are challenges when conducting research into the experiences of PWID, particularly RCTs, including obtaining consent and conducting intervention. Thus far, mental and behavioural disorders have formed the focus of the literature in this area. This has been very little research in relation to physical conditions. The evidence base in this area needs to be expanded, as the research done is either of insufficient methodological quality or quantity to draw accurate conclusions.</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ryan and Scior. | To review research on medical students’ attitudes to people with intellectual disabilities. The attitudes of medical students warrant empirical attention because their future work may determine people with intellectual disabilities’ access to healthcare and exposure to health inequalities | → 2013     | UK, US, Australia, Ethiopia, Canada, China | EMBASE, Ovid MEDLINE, PsycINFO, Scopus, Web of Science | N=24    | There were mixed results in the studies reviewed. In some studies, medical students showed positive attitudes toward PWID, and were eager to see more inclusion in the healthcare system. Some studies indicated that medical students were reluctant to work with PWID, although one of these is over 20 years old. Level of experience impacts student attitudes. Proposed strategies:  
  - More research to identify effective interventions to improve attitudes  
  - Intergroup contact theory interventions | Teaching and training are likely to improve the attitudes of medical students when addressing people with ID. More research into attitudes, and the effect thereon of education and training, should be conducted |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Recommendations/Conclusions</th>
<th>Type of Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salvador-Carulla</td>
<td>To summarize research on healthcare utilization and costs for persons with intellectual disabilities/intellectual developmental disorders with a particular focus on context studies for evidence-informed policy</td>
<td>2011 – 2015</td>
<td>Canada, Spain, Taiwan, the Netherlands, US and the UK</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>PWID have many unmet needs but are less likely to use generic services or to access health promotions. PWID and comorbid mental disorders have the highest rates of specialized service use, costs, and unmet needs. Intellectual disability has a high impact on the total direct health costs of mental disorders in Europe. Burden-of-illness studies show conflicting results when assessing PWID</td>
<td>There are large gaps in the research of burden-of-illness and cost-of-illness in this area, which makes it harder to draw conclusive results. This may be compounded by the lack of international agreement on the naming, classification, and severity grouping of ID, particularly in comparison to other ‘mental disorders’. This could be streamlined to produce more comprehensive results. The creation of ‘evidence-informed policy’ is hindered by the current lack of evidence</td>
<td>Narrative review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taua,</td>
<td>To examine literature</td>
<td>2000 – New</td>
<td>Proquest, N=21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The complexities of a DD</td>
<td>PWID are, evidently, more prone to mental health problems</td>
<td>Literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepworth and Neville.</td>
<td>on the role of the nurse caring for people with a dual disability (DD) of mental illness and intellectual disability</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Zealand</td>
<td>CINAHL, OVID, EBSCO Health Source, Medline, PsycINFO</td>
<td></td>
<td>obstruct the delivery of health services, particularly owing to communication barriers between staff and patients, and the sometimes challenging behaviour of those with DD. There is evidence to support both generalised and specialised care models. Most current literature on mental health service provision for PWID is low quality. Hospitals are often unequipped to deal with patients with a DD, and lack adaptations to cater to specific needs. There are few specific DD-focused nurses, and nurses often feel inadequately prepared to deal with these patients.</td>
<td>illus. However, there is insufficient and ‘erratic’ evidence on effective service provision to this population group. Nurses need adequate training and education in this area, as they are the most frequent points of contact for PWID accessing the health system.</td>
<td>review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venville, Sawyer, Long,</td>
<td>To investigate the following question: what do people with an intellectual disability say about</td>
<td>2004 – 2014</td>
<td>UK, Netherland, Canada</td>
<td>CINAHL, Scopus, Proquest, Pubmed (Medline), PsycINFO, Trove,</td>
<td>N=17</td>
<td>Specialised services are somewhat beneficial, as they are better equipped to cater to specific needs and provide high-quality care. Adjustments to care, including greater continuity and better support, would be greatly beneficial in improving quality of care in both specialist and mainstream services.</td>
<td>Scoping review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards and Hair.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werner and Stawski</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>To summarise the available literature examining the knowledge, attitudes and training of psychiatrists and other professional caregivers in regard to serving people with dual-diagnosis</td>
<td>Medline, ERIC, PsychNet, Social Sciences Research Network, Web of Knowledge, EMBASE Psychiatry</td>
<td>N=28</td>
<td>Use of mental health services by PWID is low, despite the prevalence of mental health issues in that population group. Service providers lack knowledge and training about the specific needs of PWID. Attitudes toward PWID are</td>
<td>Research should be conducted to further evaluate health care professionals’ knowledge about patients with a dual diagnosis. Training, knowledge-mapping, and attitude-changing programs may be beneficial in improving the knowledge base and thereby improving outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>their experiences of formal support for mental health problems? The term “formal support” is used throughout the article to designate support provided by formal services, as opposed to support from unpaid carers and family members</td>
<td>Google Scholar, Grey Literature Report, Grey Matters, Open Grey, Australian Indigenous HealthInfoNet OALister, Google</td>
<td></td>
<td>care. However, there are also marked disadvantages, particularly socially. Specialised services can negatively impact social inclusion, normalisation of ID, and human rights, while exacerbating stigma and discrimination by removing PWID from the rest of the healthcare population and hindering general services’ capacity to cater to PWID. The notion of ‘self-advocacy’ is a beneficial tool. It is vital that PWID accessing the healthcare system have a ‘voice’ in studies and evaluations</td>
<td>Although improvements to both design and delivery are needed, there have been positive indicators of integrated inpatient units. More inclusive research would be beneficial in investigating user perspectives, by involving people who have a dual-diagnosis of mental illness and ID. It is imperative to listen to service users, who are calling even more for self-advocacy and personal involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson and Scior. 2014</td>
<td>To review studies measuring implicit attitudes towards individuals with physical disabilities (visual, motor or hearing) or intellectual</td>
<td>2000 – 2012</td>
<td>Predominantly USA</td>
<td>PsycINFO, CINAHL, EMBASE, ERIC, MEDLINE, PUBMED, Scopus, Web of Science</td>
<td>N=17</td>
<td>Implicit attitudes were markedly different to explicit attitudes. Implicit attitudes were often negative, e.g. infantilising PWID – associating them with ‘child-like’ qualities</td>
<td>Negative implicit attitudes are predominant among service providers, and have been consistent for some time. Interestingly, explicit attitudes have improved over time and become less negative. Factors that influence implicit attitudes should be investigated to address and</td>
<td>Systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Year</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Date Range</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Databases</td>
<td>Studies</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Recommendations/ Conclusions</td>
<td>Type of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disabilities via the Implicit Association Test (IAT; Greenwald, McGhee, &amp; Schwartz, 1998)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sometimes, more contact with PWID was associated with less negative implicit attitudes. However, paid caregivers often also held negative implicit attitudes. One study concluded that there was no correlation between contact and attitude. There is little to no correlation between explicit and implicit attitudes (in these studies). Overall, there was a preference for people who were able-bodied over those with physical disabilities. Often, disability is associated with disease</td>
<td>better understand them. This would further inform strategies to improve quality of care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of systematic reviews

As the latest Cochrane review in this area notes “There is very limited evidence on the organisation of healthcare services for persons with an intellectual disability.” However, a range of capacity building strategies were identified, either directly or indirectly, by reviewers. In addition to staff training, suggested improvements included: structural responses (e.g. development of policies and procedures); roles (e.g. creation of ID liaison positions and meeting the needs of family carers); communication and information strategies (e.g. creation of specific information, creation of guidelines); clinical interactions (e.g. addressing issues of diagnostic overshadowing); environment (e.g. changes to environment); and, ethics and research (i.e. inclusion of PWID and their carers in research and policy development).

Structural responses

Structural responses to capacity building address issues such as organisational structures, policies and procedures, recognition and reward, quality improvement processes, and internal information systems. Iacono et al. provide a comprehensive rationale for the need for such structural as well as all subsequent categories of responses identified in this review. Staff and systems have: failed to adjust to the needs of PWID; failed to recognise PWID specific concerns and the impact of long treatment delays in medical service waiting rooms; demonstrated an inability to adjust to meet the communication needs of PWID; failed to provide required assistance to enable PWID to eat a meal or go to the toilet; and, failed to take into account differences in medication regimes across home and hospital settings, with potentially serious outcomes. A number of these themes will be taken up later in this section.

The literature on structural responses to the provision of healthcare services to PWID reverberates with debate on the merits of specialist ID services, other specialist services (notably, mental health, psychiatry, gynaecology/obstetrics, paediatrics or geriatrics), and generalist services (including primary, tertiary and community care) for PWID. There is no clear answer provided in the evidence for a single resolution to this debate.

Different reviews found different benefits at different times for different types of services, depending on a range of factors including patient and community characteristics (including, comorbidity and whether the individual client displays challenging behaviours), specific service type or specialty, staff skills and attitudes.

Adshead et al., for example, found that specialist services provided the best comprehensive care for PWID but, at the same time, resulted in a longer length of stay. Chaplin raises the risk of restrictive practices in specialist mental health services but notes that the emergency provision of psychiatric assessment and care remains a problem in generalist services. At the same time, this complexity and fragmentation across the system(s) of care has been found to contribute to PWID’ ability to use existing services (Thyen et al., Nageswaran et al., Wilkins et al.). The finding was supported by Adshead et al. who argued that role confusion between ID and mental health care providers can affect access and use of services.

Pelleboer-Gunnink et al. found that there is general consensus that PWID should be able to access adequate services in the ‘mainstream system’. They make one key reflection: while this might be the case, clinicians can perceive that PWID are a ‘burden’ because of their time-consuming and often complex cases. Byrne et al. suggest the use of financial incentives as a potential solution, at least with regard to general practitioners (GPs) in primary care. The issue of the time taken to provide appropriate care for PWID also raises issues around staffing levels and skills, as well as the availability of appropriate equipment and aids, and organisational investment in such resources.

Suggestions of mid-level structural changes (i.e. modification to mainstream services) include: the creation of specific teams that aim to improve access to healthcare by PWID; intensive case management for PWID in mental health services; and, integrated models of care have been used for medical inpatients with psychiatric disorders and could provide a useful approach where appropriate. Mechanisms to reduce...
delays in service provision, particularly for parents of PWID who fear that they must battle in order to obtain services or that a crisis needs to occur before appropriate services are provided, need to be identified.26

The core of this question is how PWID can be provided with the best care possible, particularly in the light of evidence that there are currently shortfalls in all types of care they require and that they are at higher risk of premature mortality from errors of omission during treatment. Iacono et al.21 conclude that more research is needed to identify and investigate care for PWID at specific points in the hospital journey, including admission, diagnostic testing, placement on a ward and discharge.

The links between improved quality of care and the provision of services to PWID is largely untested. A UK review notes a study that audited a specialist epilepsy clinic for PWID for its adherence to standards set out in NICE (National Institute for Health and Care Excellence) guidelines but, of all of the review studies, it was the only one to specifically mention the use of quality improvement mechanisms.41 Robertson et al.37 note that nursing management support, leadership and direction was essential to the provision of adequate services.

Roles
Three areas dominated discussion around roles in relation to PWID. These were: the provision of specialist ID liaison staff; issues around the roles of other specialist staff; and, the role of carers and families.

The most commonly identified strategy to improve the capacity of health services to address the needs of PWID was the engagement of specialist ID liaison person (most often described as a nurse) who can provide direct care, support to carers and education for other providers.16, 21, 28, 42 This type of role was said to be able to provide support to PWID, particularly if they displayed what were considered ‘challenging behaviours’.22, 23, 29, 31, 42 While these health professional can act as mediators or advocates for PWID engaging with general healthcare providers, Griffiths, Bennett and Smith44 note that there was a relative lack of studies on interventions specifically delivered by ID liaison nurses. Other specialist workers include skilled interpreters with knowledge of ID25, along with the use of multidisciplinary team approaches.45

Recognition of and support for the role of both support staff and carers was frequently cited as an issue in this field. Findings ranged from the need for hospital staff to demonstrate positive regard for carers’ roles and knowledge, develop trust within the system and strategies to better foster positive relationships with them16 to a greater recognition of the role of families43 and the specific provision of information for and support to carers.16

Carers were found to play a positive role in alleviating stress for PWID in hospitals and ensuring that they receive the best care. As a result, however, carers felt that they were placing a burden on staff if they left the hospital42 and there was a specific call to address caregiver burden through, for example, the use of outreach treatments39 and addressing over-reliance on carers to provide care assistance in hospitals.21, 38

Several reviews noted an over-reliance on carers within hospitals to provide attendant care from toileting and meals all the way to assistance with medical care (including, for example, changing bandages and/or advocating for or assisting on appropriate investigations or treatments).21, 45

Communication and information
The issue of communication between healthcare providers, PWID, and their families and carers was noted across all reviews (e.g. Robertson et al.37), and in particular for parents who couldn’t identify sources of assistance or information (Douma et al.46, Faust and Scior47, Samuel et al.40, Wodehouse and McGill49). The need to address communication is at the core of many strategies designed to meet the needs of PWID, both in terms of how relevant information is gathered from and how information is provided to PWID, and their carers and families — which in turn relate to the additional time that might be required to ensure adequate communication.37
The need for appropriate and accessible information throughout the patient/client journey was identified as a core issue in both access to and use of services for PWID. Several reviews identified preparation for admission to hospital, specialist GP referral forms, accessible pre-admission assessments and processes, and user friendly information as key to reducing the fears of PWID and the families. Suggested improvements included: developing liaison models of working; improving referral systems; creating local integrated pathways and protocols; and adapting appointment systems. Appropriate tools for both the gathering and distribution of information (e.g. specialist assessment tools for pain and distress) need to be reviewed.

Robertson et al. reported on a study by Esan and Markar which examined an audit of a specialist epilepsy clinic for PWID for its adherence to standards based on the UK’s NICE guidelines. The study found a number of administrative issues in addition to those listed above including: keeping all patient records in one file to ensure continuation of communication, without replication; accessible information on medication changes; clear care plans; clear information on contacts and appoints; a standardised risk assessment form developed to complete and share with carers or support agencies; and, leaflets on other relevant forms of specialised supports or services. The health system’s ability to address the fears of PWID is a recurrent theme. Both Iacono et al. and Phillips note that PWID experience high levels of fear in relation to healthcare, and in particular hospital encounters. PWID’ fears are exacerbated because they are sometimes unsure what to expect as part of the encounter, do not know what to expect in the specific healthcare procedure, and are anxious about being in an unfamiliar situation and environment.

Reviews identified various potential mechanisms for improving communication for PWID who use healthcare services. These included ensuring that at least some staff have repeat experiences with the same client or patient; this was found to improve staff understanding and willingness to adjust to the needs of PWID. Another potential mechanism focused on developing hospital staff communication skills in general and their ability to use communication tools (e.g., hospital communication books, photographs, pictures, and symbols), in particular. Other suitable tools include videos, accessible booklets, augmentative and alternative communication modes. Use of these tools is particularly important, where required, for communicating and providing information about treatment, procedures, medication and discharge for PWID.

This may go some way to overcoming what has been noted as a reluctance to communicate with PWID by staff who are unsure of the patient/client’s ‘capacity to understand’ the communication. This may lead to the clinician talking to carers, rather than clients with intellectual disabilities themselves.

As with all patients, several reviews identified that care needs to be taken to ensure that the specific needs of different groups of PWID are also addressed. This includes addressing the specific needs of PWID who are children (and their parents), PWID who have mental health issues, as well as those from a Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) background. Several reviews note that people from CALD backgrounds and/or their children might be at risk of additional discrimination. Special attention also needs to be paid to the complexities faced by people with dual disabilities and those providing their care and in particular with for those patients who appear to have challenging behaviours. There also needs to be specific acknowledgement of the methods of communication used with both support staff and carers.

Women with ID are particularly vulnerable, especially if they are pregnant. Research indicated that they can experience negative responses to pregnancies, including ‘automatic’ suggestions of termination, and a lack of advocacy. As noted in Homeyard, this group in particular is often faced with care and communication being ‘about them, without them’.
**Clinical interactions**

Capacity building responses to clinical interactions need to address some of the most commonly identified issues with current service delivery. These include: delayed or inappropriate diagnostic procedures; diagnostic overshadowing, where the patients’ symptoms are incorrectly attributed to the intellectual disability; inability to identify or treat pain appropriately; inability or unwillingness to listen to or respond to carer information; inadequate discharge planning and strategies, including a lack of continuity of care; inappropriate person-centred care planning which does not take into account the role of carers; and, an inability to address issues like polypharmacy.

Concern about the lack of expertise in working with PWID was a common concern, including primary care leading to brief and ineffective consultations. One of the most prominent issues addressed in the literature was that of diagnostic overshadowing, where clinicians focus is on the patient’s intellectual disability rather than on the health issue to be addressed. This can result in clinicians missing both general health problems and high-risk conditions (such as epilepsy) for PWID which can be exacerbated by challenging behaviours and comorbidities. It has also been associated with direct discrimination in denying PWID diagnostic procedures or treatment. Suggestions for addressing and responding to this issue include the development of suitable assessment tools to prevent diagnostic overshadowing — often due to communication failures.

Exposure to PWID rather than training may assist in development of relevant skills and capabilities. Pelleboer-Gunnink, Van Oorsouw, Van Weeghel and Embregts found that the healthcare providers’ lack of knowledge about PWID (including what they do, what they like and how they live) led to fear and anxiety, and reduced their confidence in treating them.

As well as direct clinical interactions, healthcare services need to be able to respond quickly and effectively to the specific needs of PWID. In addition to the general fears identified previously, PWID may also experience frustration caused by long waiting times, and fear associated with lack of choice over, for example, whether their carer remains during the process or procedure and where they are treated. PWID may also have specific needs in terms of food and or continence. The role of non-clinical staff in providing more appropriate care for PWID has also been considered. For example, a systematic review of service responses to PWID and epilepsy reported a study in Belgium which looked at use of a decision tree for non-medically trained staff which treats seizures as medical emergencies.

**Environment**

Environmental capacity building refers to the ways in which health care pro systems can improve PWID access to and use of services through systems redesign. This includes the need to both provide adequate resources for and make physical alterations to hospital environments. For example, consideration needs to be given to the use of inpatient specialist services by youth, particularly when these are located a significant distance from family and home.

Fear continues to be a key factor in service provision for PWID. This includes the need to address what is experienced as the ‘alien’ environment of hospitals and healthcare settings, including addressing the fear of getting lost. Suggested improvements to the healthcare environment include: appropriate signage; addressing physical barriers of access; creation of side waiting rooms or adaptations to physical hospital environment; improvements to poor layout; easy access to equipment and resources; and, the creation of a relaxed environment.

**Ethics and research**

As with all vulnerable groups in society, clinical interactions are embedded in a wider social context. Venville et al. argued that in order to improve the quality of care for PWID, clinicians and service providers who have an awareness of the discrimination and systemic social barriers and not just the interpersonal and
social factors, and who understand the notion of ‘self-advocacy’ and ‘voice’ are particularly important. Werner and Stawski\textsuperscript{24} note that psychiatric models of care might prove useful to find an approach as psychiatrists are said to value self-advocacy, empowerment and inclusion over exclusion and sheltering, which are commonly found amongst other healthcare provider models.

The issue of adjustments to both treatments and physical environments (addressed in the next section) was a recurrent theme. The practice of inclusion, for example, was said to be understood as equal treatment meaning reasonable adjustments instead of undifferentiated treatment\textsuperscript{35}

One issue to be addressed is how healthcare systems could ensure that assumptions are not made about a person with an intellectual disability to consent.\textsuperscript{55} This is necessary because, as Pellebour-Gunnink et al.\textsuperscript{35} identified, there are still conflicting attitudes in the healthcare system towards PWID, including stigma, different expectations of their capabilities and an ‘insufficient support of autonomy’. In short, they argue, PWID are treated differently within the health system.

Given the recurrent call for additional evidence and research, several reviews including Robertson et al.\textsuperscript{7} note that PWID are generally excluded from research conducted on mainstream generalised health services. They conclude that there is “no tangible reason for this exclusion” as most studies could accommodate the involvement of PWID with some adaptations. PWID\textsuperscript{38} and their carers\textsuperscript{56} should also be involved in policy development and have a ‘voice’ in both studies and evaluations.\textsuperscript{23}

A related issue pertinent to this review was raised by Osugo and Cooper.\textsuperscript{57} They question whether interventions should be implemented if there is little or no evidence of their effectiveness, particularly when most evidence based interventions are adopted from studies that don’t include PWID.

**Analysis of single studies**

Once the systematic reviews were analysed, the single studies were reviewed and compared to the synthesised review findings. Along with providing more nuanced details and examples of the major categories identified in the overall review, the individual studies generated a small number of additional themes, each of which will be discussed in turn. Table 3 below provides a summary of the title and primary focus of the reviewed studies.
Table 3: Peer-reviewed studies (n=69 phase three search results)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Primary Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Primary Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Griffith GM, Hastings RP, Nash S, et al. (2011)</td>
<td>‘You have to sit and explain it all, and explain yourself.’ Mothers’ experiences of support services for their offspring with a rare genetic intellectual disability syndrome. <em>Journal of Genetic Counseling</em></td>
<td>Role of carers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Primary Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Primary Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Primary Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author and Year</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Primary Focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Differentiating the needs of PWID

Underpinning many of the studies included in the systematic review were caveats that the needs of PWID should be understood as being as diverse as those of the general population. An understanding of these differences, whether related to age, gender, ethnicity and/or illness/condition (e.g. HIV/AIDS or dual diagnosis), provides insights into the specific response capacities required by the healthcare system as a whole.

Responding to the specific needs of children, youth, their families and carers also emerged as an important theme in the review studies. Ong et al. point out that children with ID have "complex health needs that extend beyond the expected cognitive deficits, affecting a myriad of developmental domains." As with all PWID, they argue that the care provided to children with intellectual disabilities is often poorly managed or inadequate. Their survey, conducted through the Sydney Children’s Hospital Network, found that staff considered themselves largely, although not totally, competent in caring for children with ID, especially in areas such as managing challenging behaviours.

Other issues identified in the provision of care for children with ID include: the specific concerns of rural families with children with intellectual disabilities, including limited choice in service provision and feelings of isolation; potential benefits of admission to general adolescent units, which were associated with clinical improvement for young people with ID; the importance (as with palliative care) of building relationships between families and service providers; and differences in parental expectations based on the location of the service provided (i.e. school versus clinic-based speech pathology).

The provision of appropriate healthcare to PWID who are ageing has become an issue of increased focus over recent years. Most barriers to accessibility and use of health care services remain the same as for all PWID, including attitudes and capabilities of staff, and availability of both specialist and generalist services. One study found that that over half of the patients with Down Syndrome reviewed had failed to make the transition from child to adult-focused care, noting that further studies are required to assess the rationale behind this distinct care pattern, including its relationship with clinical outcomes and evaluations to identify which provider types deliver the highest quality care for adults with Down Syndrome (and associated comorbidities).

Tint et al., for example, identified that a significantly greater proportion of girls and women with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) accessed psychiatry and emergency department (ED) services, compared to boys and men with ASD. They concluded that “Future research is required to examine factors associated with the increased proportion of females with ASD using emergency department services, with specific attention as to whether these visits are related to gaps in other community-based services.” Over-representation in the use of EDs and hospital admissions was also found in the case of PWID with HIV.

For people with dual diagnosis, the effectiveness of inpatient care in a mental health service was associated with specific elements of service provision. These included whether there were therapeutic and meaningful activities (i.e. whether the therapies utilised by the service providers, for example music, were meaningful to the clients), emotion-focused care (i.e. the acknowledgement and addressing of PWID’s emotions, including anger, anxiety and stress) and whether the PWID were ‘feeling safe’ (notably in relation to medication and seclusion).

Women with intellectual disabilities experience specific issues in seeking and utilising healthcare services, including a greater vulnerability to stereotyping (being perceived as more ‘compliant’ than men) and abuse. For example, the proportion of women without intellectual disabilities who are screened for cervical cancer is nearly twice that of those with ID and 1.5 times for mammography screening.

As with barriers to general health service access, Burgen found that the records of women with intellectual disabilities who had accessed a pregnancy advisory service showed that: the majority needed someone to
facilitate their first contact with that service; most contacted the service late in their pregnancy with a quarter of the women leaving it too late to have the option for an abortion they were seeking; a quarter were in significant conflict with their support people over the pregnancy; and, more than half of those who already had children were currently involved with child protection services. Burgen’s conclusion was that women with intellectual disabilities require more accessible information and assistance in accessing services and service providers, who, in turn need to demonstrate both a proactive and supportive approach.

Hubert conducted a study of family carers’ views of services for PWID from black and minority ethnic groups. Its results demonstrated a significant mismatch between the carers’ opinions of the services (in that they were largely satisfied with the services once they received them) and actual provision of services which, even for elderly and ill carers, was found to be ‘highly’ inadequate and ineffective. Support was, at that point, negligible and future planning ‘rare’, largely based on stereotypes about extended and supportive family networks.

Two identified studies addressed the service needs of PWID with forensic involvement. In both cases, there was a strong overlap for PWID between forensic involvement and dual diagnoses of mental health issues. The first study found that this group were most often younger males with longer lengths of inpatient stay. They were more likely to have personality disorders and less likely to have mood disorders (compared to other PWID), but less likely than other forensic patients to have been diagnosed with substance abuse or psychotic disorders. Overall, PWID had more severe symptoms, fewer resources and a higher recommended level of care than other forensic patients.

The second study found that in the UK secure inpatient services for PWID are often provided in a piecemeal way, despite the clients having ‘very high needs’. The authors argued that consultation with all stakeholders, good relationships with community teams and strong integration with mainstream forensic services were all essential to the provision of effective services.

Types of care

Primary care

Although the focus of this review is capacity building in the community and tertiary care sectors, as several Australian and international studies note, PWID and their families mainly rely on their GPs for primary care. As such, concerns about access to, use and integration of primary care services which emerged as a major theme in the individual studies, need to be considered. Studies found evidence of PWID arriving at tertiary care with more complex and chronic health problems, providing an impetus for the integration of care across PWID’ lives and health cycles.

Despite PWID having been eligible to access annual comprehensive health assessments (ACHAs) under Medicare since 2007, Weise found statistically significant differences in the types, length and problems managed in primary care consultations, the medications and treatments provided and referrals made for PWID (compared to the general population). They concluded that administration, rather than clinical care, dominated consultations with PWID and recommended that GPs require assistance to identify and manage common medical conditions experienced by them, to allocate the increased time required for such consultations and to develop the skills required to consult effectively.

The UK’s NHS has addressed the issue of improving primary care to PWID by providing an opt-in incentive scheme to encourage GPs to undertake annual health checks for them. In comparing participants in this scheme to those who had not received incentives, Busewicz et al. found that those practices that received incentives conducted significantly more general health and specific (e.g. hearing) assessments, blood tests and medication reviews. Incentivised practices also generated more health action plans and made more secondary care referrals. As a result, there were higher rates of identification of disorders including thyroid, gastrointestinal issues and obesity.
The location of the primary care services also matters. Burton and Walters found that PWID faced additional barriers in accessing primary care in rural areas. While issues of limited knowledge, understanding and confidence were similar among rural and metropolitan GPs (including a lack of knowledge of specialist assessments), PWID in rural areas did have an increased reliance on practice nurses as the “as knowledge holder, facilitator and implementer of care.”

**Preventative care**

Several studies noted actual and potential preventative care strategies for PWID. Annual reviews were found, as previously discussed, to result in better identification and treatment of conditions common in PWID, including obesity. In Australia, Byrne found that the Comprehensive Health Assessment Program (CHAP) significantly increased health actions for PWID compared with usual care. These included increased hearing tests, breast checks and skin examinations.

Similar issues and outcomes have been identified with a range of cancer screening programs. Marriot et al. reported common barriers to screening, including practical issues such as:

- Mobility
- Comprehension of general cancer screening information
- Accessibility of PWID appropriate literature
- Understanding of appointment systems
- Communication barriers
- The attitudes and knowledge of PWID, families, support service providers and family carers, and healthcare professionals
- Concerns about PWID’s ability to consent to screening programs.

They then reported on strategies used in the UK to address these barriers including partnership between specialist services and primary care providers, pre-screening education (including through visual information), longer appointment times, primary care and screening liaison nurses, and guidance and education for staff.

**Sexual health**

Several papers addressed the needs for appropriate and accessible sexual health and reproductive services and/or education for both PWID and health providers. In addition to the issues mentioned elsewhere about service delivery, studies have found that staff and family attitudes (including myths about the sexual health of PWID) as well as funding shortages and a lack of policy guidelines have acted as significant administrative barriers to the provision of sexual health services to PWID.

**Mental health**

A number of studies address the need for capacity building of generalist (e.g. Emergency Departments) and specialist (e.g. forensic mental health) services providing support for PWID with mental health diagnoses. This is a particular concern, because, as identified by Martin, Hirdes and Fries, while most PWID in their study had more clinically complex conditions with higher rates of functional impairment and aggressive behaviour, the healthcare interventions they were provided focused largely on behaviour management; an argument supported by other studies.

As dual diagnosis is a significant area of study, many articles relating to mental health have been cited elsewhere in this section and will not be repeated here. However, some studies raise important and unique issues in the provision of care to PWID with dual diagnoses. These include the delivery of care to PWID within and upon release from secure inpatient services (such as the creation of local services and “step down” discharge places).
Kroese et al. conducted a study in which they surveyed PWID, support staff and community team members, to find out what they considered to be desirable qualities for staff in mental health services providing care for patients with intellectual disabilities. The analysis identified a number of themes including:

- Being interested
- Having strong communication skills
- Competence-promoting support
- Past/present/future links
- Prevention
- Reviews and liaison
- Working with carers
- Looking after staff
- Staff training/supervision
- Interface between services.

This study aligns with another examination of the ‘outputs’ for staff of working in ID services: employers cited the terms and conditions of employment, opportunities for professional development, support, positive feelings, encouragement, challenge, and negative outcomes; co-workers cited overt behaviour, friendship/companionship, loyalty, negative feelings (anger and frustration); and, service users cited overt behaviour (appreciation, respect), feedback/information, rewards from making the lives of service users better, emotional attachment and positive feelings.

**Palliative care**

The provision of palliative care services to PWID is an emerging area of study as their lifespans increase. As with all types of care, reticence amongst palliative service providers is often located in the perceived ‘differences’ and ‘difficulties’ in offering care to PWID.

In a recent Australian study, Grindrod and Rumbold described the use of a public health palliative care framework in the development of an organisational change model which addressed organisational structure and culture within community living services in Victoria, in turn influencing their end-of-life practice. As with other forms of service delivery, effective palliative care was associated with the attitudes of support staff and collaboration between and the coordination of services across the fields of disability and palliative care. Their conclusion was that this collaboration should be led by disability services, although other studies indicate a need for leadership across all sectors and providers as well as improved understanding of each sector’s roles and capabilities.

The roles and capabilities of care providers is a common sub-theme in this area. Ryan et al. looked at the quality of palliative care from the perspective of both disability service and palliative care staff. They found that both groups agreed that good quality care was dependent on trust, continuity of relationships and knowledge of the individual to whom the care was provided. Palliative care staff indicated that they experienced difficulties in providing care to PWID. A key element in the quality of care related to the formation of ‘authentic relationships’ between staff and PWID.

In a previous study, the same authors examined the role of support staff in palliative care and found that they were keen to provide it as they believed the experience of doing so enriched their practice. This desire was mediated, however, by lack of preparation and skills, exacerbated in particularly challenging situations (including those involving decision making), by the relationships between PWID, staff and relatives, and where there was a lack of time or support to provide care and mourn the loss of the client.

Part of this relationship building includes an awareness of the cumulative impact of poor care. As Read and Cartlidge argue, as ‘health irreversibly deteriorates, disempowerment becomes inevitable, and the need for professionals to promote autonomy at this time is crucial, particularly among those individuals who have long-
term health and social care needs ... Making such ‘reasonable adjustments’ to the care setting (Michael, 2008) will minimize additional distress for people with an ID, minimize the potential for ‘death by indifference’ of this marginalized population (Mencap, 2007), and maximize choice and participation for the individual at the end of life.”

Although Read and Cartlidge did not expand on the concept of reasonable adjustments, Morton-Nance and Schafer propose the following: “communication, [that is] empowering patients by providing accessible information regarding diagnosis, treatment options and symptom management; support, including financial, spiritual and psychological support for the person with a learning disability and family members or carers; collaborative working, [including] joint agency working and partnership with carers; environment [including] introducing the preferred priority of care document early so that people with learning disabilities can make their wishes known with regard to receiving treatment and where they would prefer to die; and time, [that is] being proactive and allowing enough time and space to talk about death and dying.” They also make the case for specialist learning disability and palliative care nurses, who can help in the development of proactive palliative care strategies and the adaptation of existing palliative care pathways.

Other strategies to improve the delivery of palliative care to PWID include the proactive engagement of senior management of services and the use of tele-health services, including the establishment of parent-professional and peer support groups using digital technologies.

**Location of care**

Although rural settings are noted for their lack of services, particularly specialist services, several studies have found that community connectedness and social cohesion experienced within such settings might provide a protective factor, increasing the wellbeing of PWID. One international (Scottish) study showed better than average use of services such as opticians and dentists.

While rural settings might provide some protective factors, specific challenges remain. As Hussain and Tait identified, the parents of children with intellectual disabilities in rural settings experience a lack information about available support systems which, along with the limited experience, ‘poor’ attitudes and frequent turnover of healthcare service providers, added to their stress and sense of isolation. A Canadian study of service providers’ perspectives on the barriers that people with dual diagnoses (i.e. ID and mental illness) living in rural settings face accessing and using health care services, identified difficulties with: transport; resource inequities (i.e. lack of specialised resources and service providers); affordable housing in areas where support services and providers were available; a lack of (self-determined) skills in staff; difficulties in diagnosis; stigma; systems-level challenges, including issues with coordination and navigation of services; involvement of families; and, communication barriers. Similar barriers have been found in the delivery of care to ageing PWID in rural areas.

**Structural responses**

Debate about the best possible structural responses to meeting the healthcare needs of PWID continues with distinctly different (although not necessarily incompatible) views expressed by PWID, carers, policy makers and service providers as to what constitutes an effective service. The debate is further complicated by lack of accurate information about current service usage by PWID.

Differences in perspectives also apply within as well as across groups. For example, in a study of younger adults with intellectual disabilities, Williams, Scott and McKechanie found that although preferences differed between individuals, most wanted to attend mainstream sexual health services, arguing that staff from these services should be able to meet their needs. One exception (within the parameters of the study) concerned the quality of life for adults with profound learning difficulties resettled from hospital to supported living in the community. The results in this case were unequivocal, with statistically significant improvements in quality of life across the board.
Whatever their specific conclusions, underpinning many of these studies is the argument that there is a “… need to match service delivery according to the individual’s needs, wants and expectations rather than the disability type/diagnosis based service which predominates today.” This argument is supported within the context of a human rights approach for service delivery for PWID.

Pathways

There has been an increased call for and use of healthcare pathways in recent years. Wood et al. identified a series of factors in developing and executing an ID healthcare pathway, including the need for changes in organisational culture and attitudes towards PWID, and more specific issues in need of address such as: communication between health professionals; dissemination of clear and relevant pathway documentation; the need for clear leadership as a part of multidisciplinary team working; the expanded role of health professionals as care coordinators; the role of administrative staff; differences in implementation across localities; the use and security of information technology systems; the visualisation (through patient journey storyboarding) of care pathway procedures; attitudes of professionals and staff toward care pathways; and, the perceived impact of pathways on clients.

Assessment of healthcare quality

Although studies of healthcare for PWID predominantly focus on access and use of services, at least two UK studies addressed the quality of care provided. In a largely descriptive piece, O’Hara discussed quality measures from primary care through mainstream secondary care to those of specialist mental health services for PWID. She concludes that while no-one can “… argue with policies that address early health promotion and interventions, the provision of health checks at key life stages and the promise of more support and higher prioritization of mental and emotional wellbeing, long-term support needs and convenient local access to primary care for all … the devil … is in details, and often these details have no clinical evidence or sound quality base.”

In a study of overall 30-day hospital readmission rates, Kelly et al. found that they were much higher for PWID at 69% compared to 29% for the the general population (an unexpected finding based on previous research). They conclude that this might indicate that PWID, particularly those with more profound disabilities, are “… at greater risk of experiencing poor quality care, if poor quality care is what causes readmissions. Patients with profound [IDs] more commonly experienced an emergency readmission within 30 days.”

Referral

Lack of appropriate referral remains a core problem in the delivery of care for PWID. In Canada, the complexity of the healthcare system (which mirrors Australia’s) is considered a contributing factor to barriers that PWID face accessing and being referred to services. Tremblay and Morin used an expert committee to review participants’ files in order to determine the client-related variables that were associated with the level of services provided. They found that of the 30 participants, ten were not receiving the appropriate level of care. Lower levels of care were most strongly associated with the perceived challenging behaviours of clients. Their conclusion was that the primacy of clinical judgment, rather than the specific participant characteristics, affect whether a PWID is referred to services which best meet their needs.

Roles and responsibilities

As with the systematic reviews, individual studies identified the need for specific support roles both in Australia and internationally. Burton and Walters, for example, noted the need for support workers/carers in annual health assessment, and in the transfer of information about PWID between and within the health and disability sectors.
Although the role of specialist ID nurses was identified and discussed in the systematic reviews, the analysis of individual studies identified a call for upskilling different roles, including primary care practice nurses and paid support staff. Burton and Walters\textsuperscript{73} advanced the argument that practice nurses can “… develop expertise in the needs of people with ID and techniques for doing assessments thus facilitating the annual health assessment and care planning” while Ryan et al.\textsuperscript{94} found a willingness by support workers to take on some aspects of palliative care provision.

Another study looked at the emerging role of support workers as the provider of indirect (speech and language) interventions for PWID. It identified four key factors which might influence indirect interventions: diversity in the working context; the potential for conflict between the guiding values of speech and language therapists and support workers, in particular residential support workers; collaboration and support for the implementation of the intervention; and any doubts speech and language therapists might have about the effectiveness of formal support worker communication training. The key issues for support workers were: roles and values, awareness of communication needs, and motivation and opportunity to implement interventions.\textsuperscript{108}

Other issues affecting clinical practice with PWID identified include the support provided to staff in building confidence and understanding the complex behaviours of PWID\textsuperscript{109} and their ability to deal with stressors such as workload, client-related difficulties, and organisational structure and processes.\textsuperscript{110}

**Communication and information**

Clarity of communication is a recurring theme across the literature. Several strategies have already been discussed in relation to particular issues, for example the flow of information about PWID across sector boundaries (notably between disability and health\textsuperscript{73}) and the need for additional information for clinicians, who may be unaware of the availability of specialist and support services\textsuperscript{111}, or who may refer inappropriately.\textsuperscript{112} Lack of timely communication and information was also identified as a significant barrier in providing healthcare services to children with intellectual disabilities in rural settings.\textsuperscript{64}

Burton and Walters\textsuperscript{73} describe communication between PWID, carers and clinicians as the ‘communication triangle’ with the role of the carer as one of combined interpreter and advocate. In their study of PWID, carers and clinicians, they found that carers: provide support to PWID in communicating their needs and feelings; utilise their knowledge of PWID to convey relevant information in the clinical setting; and, act as an advocate for client-centred care. This, they argue, assists clinicians in the diagnosis, prescription and referrals for the PWID, in line with both their diagnoses and preferences.

Given the issues identified with later referrals and less comprehensive care for PWID, and the anxieties identified by clinicians in treating them, the need for better information about PWID and specialist services emerged as a significant theme in the studies. This included specialist obesity services.\textsuperscript{111}

**Education and training**

Although this review is specifically directed towards organisational, rather than individual capacity building, most of the studies (along with the systematic reviews) included calls for competency-based\textsuperscript{113} healthcare staff training and/or development\textsuperscript{114-116}, including from students and clinicians themselves.\textsuperscript{117} The actual training and education of healthcare professionals and students have had mixed results. The diversity of the studies (context, number of participants, type of intervention, characteristics of participants) makes their findings impossible to generalise.

At an undergraduate level, there have been calls for increased education for doctors, who receive a median of less than three hours compulsory intellectual disability content across their five-year undergraduate program.\textsuperscript{79} In comparison while paramedic students were found to have a higher regard (empathy) for PWID compared with their graduate paramedic and nursing counterparts, their level of empathy actually dropped
between their second and third years of training.\textsuperscript{118} In comparison, a study of inter-professional education on ID found improvements in participants’ knowledge and skills for the majority of disciplines, including improvements in student learning and positive attitudinal change after participation in the program\textsuperscript{119}, while another study found an improvement in confidence in delivering therapies to PWID post-training.\textsuperscript{120}

There is discrepancy between the apparent success of an intervention (i.e. whether the participants found it valuable) and its actual patient/client outcomes. For example, Melville et al.\textsuperscript{121} found that an intervention study with providers to reduce the barriers experienced by PWID accessing primary health care services was successful in meeting the ‘previously unmet training needs’ of the participants but also that, as a result of the intervention, 81.4\% of the participants agreed that they were more able to meet the needs of PWID and 66.6\% reported changing their clinical practice. Another study of education in behavioural training for healthcare providers found that their clients’ outcome data showed significant improvement following the program, including decreases in severity ratings.\textsuperscript{122}

In contrast, a program directed at training healthcare providers to help educate PWID about oral hygiene did not lead to improvements in oral hygiene or periodontal health among their clients. The researchers concluded that their “… study contributes to the available evidence suggesting that Train the Trainers training for care-staff is not effective at improving oral health among those for whom they care when using a randomized controlled trial design to assess this.”\textsuperscript{123}

One study directly addressed the issue of culture or cultural competence in service delivery to PWID. Heer et al.\textsuperscript{124} looked at the experience of service providers from different professions who work with South Asian families in the UK. They found challenges arising from issues in language and communication (primarily language barriers to therapeutic engagement) as well as the use of interpreters (due to their lack of familiarity with health-related concepts and perceived judgements and biases). They described a five-stage process in trying to engage with culturally and linguistically diverse families namely: addressing frustrations at barriers (tensions between provider and family perspectives of ID and care); struggling with engagement and process; accepting differences (by the provider of the family’s cultural differences); making it work (dealing with the complexity of needs); and, looking for a way forward (to develop the skills require to engage with cultural complexity).
Grey literature

The grey literature indicates an international acknowledgement and agreement that PWID should have access to adequate and equitable health services. However, at the same time, it is generally recognised that this is not a current reality and that the capacity of the healthcare systems to address unmet needs can and should be improved especially in the provision of services adapted or adjusted for PWID.

Common themes emerging across the grey literature include the need for: improved communication and trust between service providers and service users; empowerment and engagement of PWID and their families; capacity building in clinicians; and, service provision. Across all four countries reviewed for grey literature (Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the UK) the primary focus is on improving the system such that it has the capacity to include PWID in mainstream services, with reasonable adjustments and the support of specialist additions made to cater for their needs.

Improving communication

A primary theme that emerged from the grey literature was the need for improved communication within the healthcare system. Resources from all countries included in this review acknowledged that effective communication between clinicians, PWID, their carers and families is currently less than optimal. This poses a barrier to equitable access and quality of care. Clearer and more accessible information for PWID entering the hospital system, is likely to significantly improve quality of care.

A number of interventions have been trialled in attempts to build the healthcare system’s capacity to effect clear communication, most notably in the UK and New Zealand. The Health and Disability Commissioner of New Zealand published a ‘Guide to Communication’ that serves as a tool for health service providers to practice clear communication with PWID and their carers to make the system easier to understand, and therefore easier to access. This guide is intended to improve the communication skills of frontline practitioners who have called for additional training in caring for PWID.

The UK NHS website also promotes clear communication strategies through their information webpages. These pages include plain language explanations of what to expect when entering the hospital system and how and where to access dental services.

The NSW Say Less, Show More initiative replaced complex, written instructions and explanations of care with visual aids in order to assist PWID to understand care being provided, particularly to children with an ID. This strategy has been implemented at Westmead Children’s Hospital and is intended to ease fear of services that may be particularly daunting to a child with an ID, such as dental services and blood tests. Using visuals, a more accessible medium, to ‘show’ rather than ‘tell’ about the system being accessed has been demonstrated to be an effective strategy in improving the accessibility and quality of care of the healthcare system.

Empowerment of and engagement with PWID and their families

Recommendations about improving service delivery regularly identify the need to involve PWID in their own care. Discussing care ‘about them, without them’ risks not addressing patients’ actual (rather than assumed) needs, as well as disempowering them by diminishing their capacity to be involved in their own care.

Proposed remedial strategies to address this barrier include involving PWID in policy-making and in education and training of practitioners.

Increased contact with PWID is said to improve healthcare providers’ attitudes and understanding of ID, and to inform the construction and application of adequate policies by ensuring that they will actually address the needs of PWID. Essentially, using the experiences of PWID to inform policy can create a more person-centred model of care, which increases the capacity of the health system to provide adequate care and positive experiences to PWID accessing the system.
Empowering clinicians

Health care providers, including clinicians, can feel ill-equipped to provide adequate services to PWID. This anxiety can pose barriers to the high standards of care. Studies have shown that clinicians who feel uncertain about treating PWID can develop negative attitudes towards them. Building strong relationships and trust between providers and users assists in enhancing staff understanding of the nuances of ID.

The NSW Agency for Clinical Innovation has published videos involving PWID discussing their experience of the healthcare system and how they feel about their hospital stays. These videos are intended to serve a dual purpose. Firstly, they enrich staff knowledge through firsthand accounts of the system through the eyes of PWID, which may encourage staff to adapt their models of care by responding to the different perspectives that PWID may have when accessing the system. The videos also facilitate the direct role of PWID in educating clinicians and can enable them to participate in the co-design of care.

Although this review specifically excluded staff training as a focus, it must be noted it is one of the most common strategies proposed to increase their knowledge of the needs of PWID. Further, although this is argued in both the peer-reviewed and grey literature, such training is rarely provided or is inaccessible due to cost or location.

As with the reviews and studies, the role of staff also emerges as an issue in the grey literature. Staff roles in interacting with PWID are often unclear, and documents advise further clarification of these roles, particularly how they relate and differ to that of a carer.

Service provision

There is an ongoing debate about the best model of care for PWID but it is generally acknowledged that they should be able to access mainstream services with reasonable adjustments made to address their needs. ‘Reasonable adjustments’ are small but effective adaptations regarding time, environment, care and support that drastically improve the quality of care provided, as well as the experience of those accessing the system. This proposed model adopts a ‘generalised care, with specialist expertise’ structure, and is characterised by integration of care and collaboration across service sectors.

The introduction of Learning Disability Liaison Nurses (LDLN) in the UK has been shown to increase the inclusion of PWID in mainstream services, by ensuring that these services cater to their needs. LDLN are specifically trained in ID nursing, and can improve communication with patients and families while making the reasonable adjustments necessary. The introduction of specialist teams and clinics within general care has also been recommended in Australia as a strategy to promote inclusion. It is proposed that these specialised services can work within the general model to combine positive aspects of both generalised and specialised models; the inclusion into the mainstream with specialist knowledge and care.

The University of New South Wales has conducted studies into the inclusion or exclusion of PWID in mental health services and concluded that policies currently do not cater for PWID, and that this should be addressed. A suggested strategy for addressing this is the adoption of experience-based policy making. This operates by including PWID in policy-making by listening to their specific experiences and creating policies that can cater to their needs. The Canadian Institute of Health Information also calls for policies to be more inclusive of PWID, as a way of improving access to the health system. This form of inclusion enhances communication and empowers PWID in their own care.
Other methods of practically and holistically involving PWID in their own care, for example, include the creation of a checklist by the IDN.\textsuperscript{151} Although not evaluated, this tool was designed to ensure that clinicians are aware of how to involve PWID in their care.

Service provision to groups with more complex needs, such as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander PWID, PWID living in rural areas, PWID with co-morbidities, older PWID and children with intellectual disabilities raises additional concerns. A number of strategies to combat these barriers have been proposed, including integrating community and health services, for example, as a way of building capacity for the healthcare system to meet both the unmet and evolving needs of these groups.\textsuperscript{128} Communication with children with intellectual disabilities, as noted previously, requires additional attention, and can be achieved through visuals rather than words, as in the \textit{Say Less Show More} initiative.\textsuperscript{138}

Physical environment adjustments may also need to be implemented for PWID living in rural areas. Such adjustments have included the creation of localised services that are equipped to deal with the additional needs of a PWID.\textsuperscript{128, 150}
Discussion

Evidence of the causes of poor health and premature death for PWID is substantial and well established.5, 10, 152-155 One subset of causes, the inadequate provision of health care to PWID, has led to catastrophic results for patients and their families. The Heslop Inquiry in the UK reviewed the deaths of 247 individuals with learning disabilities (the UK nomenclature) over the age of four-years-old and found that 42% were premature and that the most common causes were "... delays or problems with diagnosis or treatment; and problems with identifying needs and providing appropriate care in response to changing needs."156 Capacity building in healthcare services is essential if these issues are to be addressed quickly and appropriately.

The provision of adequate care for PWID is complex. Some conditions, such as epilepsy can occur more commonly in PWID than the general population.5 Others, including chronic conditions such as diabetes and obesity can occur as a combination of PWID' difficulties in managing such conditions without support and the inability of healthcare providers to provide timely and accurate diagnosis,157, 158 Limited screening77, 78 and later referrals to specialist services exacerbates this situation107, as does a lack of experienced staff and or specialist services in areas of increased concern such as palliative care81, 95 and forensic mental health.71, 86 Finally, and most relevant to this review, is the failure of health systems and services to adequately address the unique needs of PWID.

All the reviews cited in this Evidence Check noted the absence of adequate research into the provision of services to PWID and, more specifically, the absence of research conducted with the active involvement of PWID, their carers and families. This is of particular concern now as changes brought about by the NDIS will configure the role of support staff in particular. That said, there is enough evidence that points towards some key areas of potential capacity building, as noted in the findings section.

PWID and their carers, like the general community, have a range of experience and preferences when it comes to service delivery. Some of these have emerged from an acceptance or knowledge of what is available rather than what is appropriate or effective. A more detailed understanding of the differences within as well as across the PWID communities will help refine the strategies discussed below. These include the differences identified in the literature so far such as age, gender, mental health and location. However, a number of important communities were not identified in this review, but clearly require careful consideration, including PWID from CALD backgrounds, lower socio-economic backgrounds, are homeless or in transition, and those without carers or advocates.

The idea of mapping patient journeys for PWID should be considered. Given the absence of evidence driven responses, or more accurately, the absence of agreement on evidence driven responses, then the mapping of a number of ‘typical’ and ‘a-typical’ journeys could provide the start of a process for identifying vulnerabilities across the entire system of care from primary to tertiary, and across a range of PWID’ unique experiences. Such maps may also demonstrate if and where services are, or need to be, integrated, and where the location and types of efforts associated with capacity building need to be directed in the short, medium and long term.

Part of this mapping should be a process of understanding the role of carers and family members. It is clear from the evidence that not only do carers and family members play a unique role in the provision of care to PWID, but they too may be experiencing health impacts as a result of the expectations placed upon them. With the introduction of personalised care through the NDIS, health systems also need to address the organisational and ethical issues associated with the role of disability support staff.
Because of the lifelong difficulties for PWID and their carers in accessing and utilising appropriate healthcare (and related services) and, more specifically, in negotiating such services, liaison officers (nursing or general) and upskilling of practice nurses who can provide a combination of both advocacy and navigation of referrals, may be an important strategy to consider.

The integration of health and social care across the life cycle of PWID remains a core issue. What the research shows is that capacity building in the health sector cannot succeed if it does not address issues of access, use, quality and safety, and outcomes across all types of services (from prevention, through primary to tertiary, generalist to specialist) and at every stage of life.

The evidence also showed that there is significant potential to improve response capacity by clinicians through the development of specific assessment tools, guidelines and care plans for PWID. The Intellectual Disability Network established by the NSW MoH and the Agency for Clinical Innovation has already commenced some of this work but more resources and efforts are required to meet the demand, including in more specialised areas such as primary healthcare, health promotion and for specific groups such as pregnant women and PWID who are ageing.

Part of improving the quality of service delivery to PWID requires a better understanding of clinician attitudes and capabilities. This is not simply an issue of training. Two issues were identified repeatedly: that of clinician ‘fear’ of PWID resulting in a lack of certainty of responses — which appears to be best addressed for both clinicians and patients through exposure to PWID — and issues associated with diagnostic overshadowing. Organisational recognition of the complexity of conditions experienced by PWID — and the additional time and resources that may be required to respond adequately — also need to be formally recognised by leaders, managers and service providers.

Quality improvement is an issue across and throughout service types. There was limited direct discussion of quality improvement in the review documents considered as most focused on addressing existing shortfalls. This is an issue, along with safety of care.

Specialist communication resources are also required, along with staff skilled enough to use them. Communication and information tools are only as effective as they are accessible and appropriate. This applies for PWID and their carers, and support staff.

Environment is a significant issue. Reviews pointed to the anxiety provoking nature of health care settings, in particular hospitals and emergency departments. Capacity building needs to include infrastructure responses and service adaptations, including the creation of safe places for PWID and their families. Such spaces have the potential to both reduce fear amongst PWID and to help manage otherwise challenging behaviours.

Any capacity building needs to take into account the unique knowledge of PWID and their carers. Mechanisms for active inclusion of PWID and their carers in research, policy making and service delivery need to be clarified and extended. The issue of gaining and providing informed consent in particular requires additional and urgent attention.

Figure 2 below provides a brief summary of the key elements required for a holistic capacity building approach to the needs of PWID.
Figure 1: Preliminary model of holistic capacity building response to service delivery to PWID
Conclusion

All available evidence points to a need to improve the accessibility (timeliness), use (efficiency, equity), quality (effectiveness, safety, coordination and patient centeredness) and outcomes from health services for PWID. This Evidence Check provided to the NSW Ministry of Health provides key evidence informed pointers as to key areas for capacity building across the NSW health system.

A key element of this process is a holistic approach to capacity building. Addressing the healthcare needs for PWID from a systems perspective is essential, as failure to prevent, diagnose and treat ill health occurs at every point of the healthcare system and across the entire lifespan of PWID, with disproportionate effects on PWID, their carers, families and communities.
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